UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,712	11/17/2003	Michael D. Gallant	03-1040 1496.00344	2328
24319 LSI LOGIC CO	7590 06/19/2007 DRPORATION	EXAMINER		
1621 BARBER LANE			WERNER, DAVID N	
MS: D-106 MILPITAS, CA 95035			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/714,712	GALLANT ET AL.		
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	David N. Werner	2621		
All Participants: Status of Application: Response to FAOM filed				
(1) <u>David N. Werner</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>John J. Ignatowski</u> .	(4)	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>12 June 2007</u>	Time: <u>4:15 PM</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: 102(e) Rejection under Topper '460, 103(a) Rejection Under To	opper '460 and Bakhmutsky			
Claims discussed: 11, 13-16, 19				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
	·			
·				
David on Werner				
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar	nt/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Interview was conducted to clarify Applicants' arguments. Claims 13-16 are re-numbered claims 26-29 to prevent objection as dependent on a later claim. Although claims 26-29 were rejected under 102 as dependent on claim 11, they are currently dependent on claim 19, rejected under 103. Although the amended claims will be considered in view of Topper, any adverse further action on claims 26-29 would fall under 103 in view of Topper and Bakhmutsky...

MEHRDAD DASTOURI
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TC 2600